

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/825,564	04/15/2004	Udo Arend	09334.0008-00	9126
<sup>22852</sup> FINNEGAN, F	7590 11/01/200 HENDERSON, FARAE	or BOW, GARRETT & DUNNER	EXAM	INER
LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW		VU, THANH T		
	N, DC 20001-4413		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2174	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	4		11/01/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

·		$m \sim$	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/825,564	AREND ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thanh T. Vu	2174	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	idress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Au</li> <li>This action is FINAL.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ol>	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	l Stage
Attachment(s)  1) \( \overline{\text{N}} \) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) \( \overline{\text{N}} \) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	ate	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application	

Art Unit: 2174

### **DETAILED ACTION**

This communication is responsive to Amendment, filed 08/10/2007.

Claims 1-20 are pending in this application. In the Amendment, claims 1 and 11 were amended. This action is made Final.

## Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

Serial numbers of related applications need to be added to the specification.

Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Branson et al. ("Branson", U.S. Pat. No. 2005/0114778) and Clark et al. ("Clark", U.S. Pat. No. 5,995,101).

Per claim 1, Branson teaches a method of disclosing structured database information to a computer user for making a decision regarding an event, comprising:

alerting the user to the event (fig. 2; hover assistance 230; [0040]; [041]; the user is being alerted to a hover assistance event 203 when the mouse pointer is over a graphical element), wherein the event is associated with both structured information accessible through a database (fig. 2; col. 4, [0042], [0048], and [0050]; successive levels of text messages with increasing assistance detail levels are considered as a structured data information and such

Art Unit: 2174

data information is stored in memory or in a mass storage device, see fig. 1 and [0036]) and unstructured information ([0054] shows hover text message 510 of Fig. 5 can immediately be displayed instead of displaying hover text messages 230, 310, and 410 (or structured information). An immediate display of a higher detail level is considered as unstructured information);

receiving a first request for the structured database information relating to the event (fig. 2; col. 4, [0042], [0048], and [0050]; when user maintains the same mouse position over graphical element 210 of fig. 2 for a period of time or when the user enters a command input via an input device, the system receives a first request from the user for a next level of hover assistance; successive text messages with increasing assistance detail levels are considered as a structured data information and such data information is stored in memory or in a mass storage device, see fig. 1 and [0036]).

providing a first portion of the structured database information relating to the event (figs. 2 and 3; [0048]; hover text message 310 is provided on the display as a first portion of the hover assistance level relating to the mouse over (hover) event);

receiving a second request for additional structured database information and options relating to the event (figs. 3 and 4; col. 4, [0042], [0048], and [0050]; when user maintains the same mouse position over graphical element 310 of fig. 3 for a period of time or when the user enters a command input via an input device, the system receives a second request from the user for a next level of hover assistance and options relating to the hover event; successive text messages with increasing assistance detail levels are considered as a structured data

Art Unit: 2174

information and such data information is stored in memory or in a mass storage device, see fig. 1 and [0036];)

providing a second portion of the structured database information and the options relating to the event, the second portion being larger than the first portion, the options including a mechanism for making the decision (figs. 3 and 4; [0048]; [0058] and [0061]; hover text message and options 430, 440 and 420 are provided on the display as a next level the hover assistance; the second portion 410 is larger than the first portion 310. User can select options 420, 430 and 440, see [0058] and [0061]).

Although Branson Branson teaches alerting the user to the event, wherein the event is associated with both structured information accessible through a database and unstructured information as described above, Branson does not specifically teach that unstructured information is stored in one or more file formats. However, Clark teaches storing of information in one more file formats (col. 5, lines 25-29 and lines 50-54; *files containing information to be displayed in multilevel tool tips*). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the teaching of Clark in the invention of Branson in order to allow storing and retrieving information to be display in a multi-level help information.

Per claim 2, the modified Branson teaches the method of claim 1, further comprising: receiving a third request for additional structured database information and options relating to the event (Branson, figs. 4 and 5; col. 4, [0042], [0048], and [0050]; when user maintains the same mouse position over graphical element 410 of fig. 4 for a period of time or when the user enters a command input via an input device, the system receives a second request

Art Unit: 2174

from the user for a next level of hover assistance and options relating to the hover event; successive text messages with increasing assistance detail levels are considered as a structured data information and such data information is stored in memory or in a mass storage device, see fig. 1 and [0036]); and

providing a third portion of the structured database information and the options relating to the event, the third portion being larger than the second portion, the options including a mechanism for making the decision (figs. 3 and 4; [0048]; [0058] and [0061]; hover text message and options 430, 440 and 420 are provided on the display as a next level the hover assistance; the third portion 510 is larger than the second portion 410. User can select related actions 1-4 and detail help 5, see [0058] and [0061]).

Per claim 3, the modified Branson teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the alert is provided in a sidebar (Branson, hover text message is provided on a graphical element i.e. toolbar or taskbar, see [0040] and [0041]).

Per claim 4, the modified Branson teaches the method of claim 1, wherein a notification is provided in place of an alert (Branson, fig. 2; [0042]; hover text message 210).

Per claim 5, the modified Branson the method of claim 1, wherein the first portion of the structured database information relating to the event is provided in a contextual menu (Branson, hover assistance is provided based on current user context, see. [0047].

Per claim 6, the modified Branson teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the first portion of the structured database information relating to the event is provided in a flyout (Branson, fig. 3; flyout 310).

Per claim 7, the modified Branson teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the second portion of the structured database information and the options relating to the event are provided in a quick activity window (Branson, fig. 4; quick activity window 410 with various options 420, 430, and 440, see [0058] and [0061]).

Per claim 8, the modified Branson teaches the method of claim 2, wherein the third portion of the structured database information and the options relating to the event is provided in a guided activity window (Branson, fig. 5; guided activity window 510 with text assistance).

Per claim 9, the modified Branson teaches the method of claim 1, further comprising providing unstructured database information in response to the second request (Branson, [0053] and [0054]; user is provide a particular detail level without the need to go through the structure of successive hover assistance levels of increasing detail.)

Per claim 10, the modified Branson teaches the method of claim 2, further comprising providing unstructured database information in response to the third request (Branson, [0053] and [0054]; user is provide a particular detail level without the need to go through the structure of successive hover assistance levels of increasing detail.)

Claim 11 is rejected under the same rationale as claim 1, Branson further teaches a memory and a microprocessor couple to the memory (fig. 1; [0035]; 0036).

Claims 12-20 are rejected under the same rationale as claims 2-10 respectively.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the amendment have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2174

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

### Inquiries

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanh T. Vu whose telephone number is (571) 272-4073. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur and every other Fri 7:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kristine L. Kincaid can be reached on (571) 272-4063. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be

Art Unit: 2174

obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Sy D. Luu/ Sy D. Luu Primary Examiner

T. Vu